

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,707	05/02/2006	Tibor Pernecker	2006_0655A	3699
513 7590 06/16/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			REDDY, KARUNA P	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,707 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

## Attachment to Advisory Action

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see page 2, lines 12-15, filed 6/2/2008, with respect to objection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claims 9-15 has been withdrawn in view of the amendments.

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see page 2, lines 16-20 and page 3, lines 1-4, filed 6/2/2008, with respect to rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first and second paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 7-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first and second paragraph has been withdrawn in view of the amendments.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/2/2008 with respect to the prior art rejection set forth in paragraphs 9 and 10 in the Office action mailed 1/2/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, applicant argues that (A) Mallya et al teach the use of surfactants that are different from the ethoxylated alkyl phenol sulftates; (B) Gerst et al do not teach the use of presently claimed ethoxylated alkylphenol sulftates. Gerst et al teaches the importance of hydrazine derivative with at least two hydrazine residues per molecule; (C) examiner has acknowledged that the present claims are in fact novel over Mallya et al (for e.g., Mallya et al do not disclose styrene monomer); (D) Mallya et al teach the use of a very specific surfactant mixture i.e. a non-ionic surfactant containing at least 8 moles ethylene oxide per mole and an anionic surfactant containing less than about 10 moles ethylene oxide per mole. Mallya et al expressly teach that the level of ethoxylation has to be about 10 moles per mole surfactant or less. Thus, Mallya et al

Application/Control Number: 10/577,707

Art Unit: 1796

expressly teach away from the use of surfactants of the present invention which contain 20 moles of ethylene oxide per molecule; (E) examiner asserts that Mallya et al teach that anionic surfactants with higher levels of ethylene oxide may be used. Applicant's point out that Mallya et al would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to be referring to anionic surfactants that have only slightly more than about 10 moles of ethylene oxide per mole of surfactant because of the negative effects on water-whitening resistance.

With respect to (A), applicant's attention is drawn to paragraph 9 of office action mailed 1/2/2008 wherein it is stated that functional anionic surfactants include salts of sulfated nonyl and octyl phenoxy poly(ethyleneoxy) ethanols.

With respect to (B) and (C), one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references i.e. a combination of Mallya et al and Gerst et al. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

With respect to (D) and (E), applicant's attention is drawn to Mallya et al (column 5, lines 54-57) wherein it is taught that other anionic surfactants with higher levels of <a href="ethylene oxide">ethylene oxide</a> (moles per mole) may be used to stabilize the emulsion particles during polymerization. It is the examiner's position that Mallya et al do not teach away from the use of presently claimed anionic surfactants because it is open to the inclusion of other anionic surfactants with <a href="higher levels of ethylene oxides per mole">higher levels of ethylene oxides per mole</a> of surfactant including those with 20 moles of ethylene oxide. Mallya et al do not restrict these other anionic surfactant to contain less than 20 moles of ethylene oxide per mole of anionic surfactant.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,707

Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

/Karuna P Reddy/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/VASUDEVAN S. JAGANNATHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796